

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,681	05/10/2001	Alexander James Wigmore	2001-0878.ORI	7056
75	90 05/06/2002			
Mark J. Burns			EXAMINER	
1130 TCF Tower 121 South Eighth Street			TRAN, SUSAN T	
Minneapolis, M				
Willingtons, With 35 102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	H

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/831,681

Applicant(s)

Wigmore

Examiner

Susan Tran

Art Unit 1615



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.	
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-29</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.	
	ve been received. ve been received in Application No documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,681

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7 are drawn to controlled release tablet with coating, classified in class 424, subclass 468, 474.
 - II. Claims 8-23 are drawn to an oral drug delivery, classified in class 424, subclass 464, 489.
 - III. Claims 24-28 are drawn to method of treating patient with allergic condition, classified in class 514, subclass 800+.
 - IV. Claim 29 is drawn to method for anti-muscarinic treatment, classified in class514, subclass 800+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I and II are related as a controlled/sustained release tablet and an oral drug delivery composition. The Group II oral drug delivery composition does not use the formulation of Group I controlled/sustained release tablet.

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the controlled/sustained release tablet of Group I can be used to treat different condition other than allergic condition.

Inventions Group I and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the controlled/sustained release tablet of Group I can be used to treat different condition besides anti-muscarinic.

Inventions Group II and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case, the oral drug delivery composition of Group II can be used to treat different condition other than allergic condition.

Inventions Group II and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case, the oral drug delivery composition of Group II can be used to treat different besides anti-muscarinic.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,681

Art Unit: 1615

Inventions Group III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the method of Group III does not have the same functions as the method of Group IV.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, or III, as well as Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Tablet
 - b. Pellet

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/831,681

Art Unit: 1615

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,681 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN TO PAGE
SUPERVISORY PAFENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY/CENTER 1600